

Record of Interview(s)

Applicant and his attorney confirm that the Examiner's Interview Summary is generally accurate. They note that there was more than one telephone interview, in which the applicant as well as J. Philip Polster were present, culminating in interviews on May 10 and May 15. In the interviews, the Teledyne Ryan reference was discussed in depth, and other art of record, including Schwab, U.S. Patent 2,365,778 was also discussed, and the claims were agreed to be patentable. Applicant authorized cancellation of claim 41 without prejudice to reintroducing the subject matter of that claim in a divisional application.

Amendments to the claims were discussed which would overcome certain possible accidental capture modalities arising from particular Teledyne Ryan conceptual approaches, including accidental engagement of a wing hook with a net, accidental engagement of a wing hook with one of the guy wires of Figure 43, or engagement of a wing hook with the tether wire of the aerostat of Figure 73 or Figure 75. These amendments are reflected in the examiner's amendments to the claims.